# **Public Hearing Comment Summary**

### Week of April 20-24

#### Ontario

55 attendees; 9 speakers

# Focus of Presentations

- Favor Alternative 3 because of need for improved water quality and additional water.
- Need to improve upon water conservation particularly in the agriculture arena.

# Additional Perspectives

- Blue ribbon committee of economists to identify most economic efficient program.
- Request for more outreach to better educate public. Fear that if the Program comes to a vote without the education, voters will be misled by television spots.
- Poem about Ward Valley

#### Fresno

200 attendees, 25 speakers

# Focus of Presentations

• Unacceptability of land retirement as a water conservation measure and need for additional storage/conveyance.

### Additional Perspectives

- Need for reliable water on an interim basis while building to ultimate solution. Unable to wait 15 years for more reliable water.
- Bonds for rural school in Westlands Water District impacted by lack of reliable water;
   school funding impacted if land retired, students' education shortchanged.
- Need to see that there is going to be more water if agriculture is to participate.
- Program efforts are biased towards the ecosystem restoration program.
- Use market forces to move water south of Delta; Alternative 3 offers greatest flexibility allowing the market to work best.
- Water conservation in agricultural arena needs to be improved.

#### Oakland

140 attendees, 50 speakers

# Focus of Presentations

- Water use efficiency program is not acceptable.
- Comment period extension needed.
- Need fourth alternative which focuses on conservation.
- Structural facilities demonstrate CALFED is going down traditional path.
- Economic analysis needed to demonstrate practicality of conservation vs facilities.

# Additional Perspectives

- BDAC members
  - Roberta Borgonovo extend the comment period to accommodate analysis gaps; use ERP approach to improve other programs; more emphasis needed on "soft approach"; preferred alt. should not be defined until all analysis completed.
  - Bob Raab need permanent water standards for habitat; requested 75-day extension of comment period; a "peripheral canal" is unacceptable.
- ERP needs to include the whole Bay; San Joaquin River needs to be part of the ERP.
- Storing water in drought years is a bad idea.
- Current barriers in Old River causing siltation.
- CALFED needs to stem tide of introduced species to Delta.
- Looking for improved water quality and reliability.
- Minority communities have been excluded from participating in process; not taking correct approach to reach them.
- Need to reveal costs/benefits of all alternatives.
- Need for environmental water rights; cannot depend upon acquisition.
- Small risks if conservation is chosen over facilities. If more is needed then go to facilities.
- Adaptive management should be part of all programs.
- Alternative 2 would improve south Delta water quality.

# Week of April 27- May 1

### Burbank

40 attendees; 13 speakers

### Focus of Presentations

- Will not support solution which diminishes agriculture.
- Water quality improvements are critical.
- Costs paid by beneficiaries.
- Seeking a water conservation emphasis alternative.
- Extend the comment period.

### Additional Perspectives

- Surface storage is a key element of any solution.
- Bulletin 160-98 not adequate basis for Program's water needs assumptions/impact analysis.
- Local interests need to have a sense of their costs before they will be able to support.
- ERP is full of statements of good intention. Assurances will be incomplete unless the ERP includes measurable standards, i.e., how many salmon or steelhead will there be when the Program is complete.
- EIS/EIR should reflect oversubscription of water.
- Recycling is the answer to Southern California's water supplies in dry years. Recharge
  aquifers with series of retention dams rather than let water follow through the concrete
  rivers to the ocean.

#### **Bakersfield**

90 attendees; 30 speakers

### Focus of Presentations

- Do not idle farmland as a means of water conservation.
- Need improvements to water supplies, supply goals need to be specific.
- Need current CVP and SWP contract amounts more reliably not less water more reliably.
- Need to account for past reallocations of agriculture's water.
- Oppose regulatory driven urban and agricultural water conservation.
- Willing to pay just for benefits that are received, no longer willing to pay for environmental needs.

## **Additional Perspectives**

- BDAC member
  - Stuart Pyle water supply goals need to be more specific; need to account for current reallocation of water; oppose regulatory driven water conservation; Alternative 1 out; Alternative 3 best for supply and habitat, stage activities; all elements proceed together.
- Not enough agricultural water conservation in the plan; folks in Kern County doing considerably better than the 1 percent noted.
- Water use efficiency impacts to local economy not documented in EIS/EIR. Similarly, salt load problems not discussed in EIS/EIR.
- Environmental uses need to be held to same conservation standards as urban and agriculture. Speculate that if environmental uses could conserve 5 percent, water enough for all.
- Concern that Water Quality program may supersede current efforts underway with State Board. Don't want another layer of work/interaction.
- Went through a lengthy process to obtain Reclamation's OK re: water conservation plans; do not want to start again with AB3616.

### Santa Cruz

30 attendees; 11 speakers

# Focus of Presentation

- Emphasis should be on water conservation rather than facilities.
- Extend the comment period.

### Other Perspectives

- Lack of specificity in report regarding impacts on agricultural lands, relationship to CVPIA and State Board's efforts, benefits to Central Coast and current water usage.
- Use economic incentives to maximize agricultural water efficiencies; if we can put a man
  on the moon surely agricultural can conserve more water; people said Mono Lake would
  not get any additional water; energy savings were sizable once energy crisis hit, bottom
  line for most was cost savings; phase in cost increases for agricultural water to drive
  conservation.
- Assurances should include water allocation limits.
- Beneficiaries should pay.
- Need increased water supply; water conservation will not be enough.
- Taking farmland out of production will lead to more urban development and loss of habitat.

• Existing problems due to existing dams and you are proposing to fix the problem with more dams.

Week of May 4-8

#### Irvine

75 attendees: 30 speakers

### Focus of Presentation

- They doing an excellent job of conserving water; pricing, recycling, technology, groundwater injection, etc.
- They need top quality water so water can be reused more often.
- Given increasing populations they will need more water.

### Other Perspectives

- Call for additional hearings in Southern California/extension of comment period.
- Seeking credit for current water use efficiency efforts.
- Urge study of "colored" water as conservation measure.
- Willing to pay "fair share" not willing to pay for agriculture.
- Agriculture needs water in Southern California.; it is difficult to compete with urbans for the water.
- Water use efficiency and watershed management were not fully considered in Program.
- Zuckerman -unrealistic to expect Northern California to provide more water.

### Walnut Grove

160 attendees, 24 speakers

### Focus of Presentations

- New storage needed.
- Greater specificity needed in description of Programs/consequences/mitigation.
- Opposed to agricultural land retirement.
- Need to comply with local land use ordinances and assure water rights are maintained.
- Opposed to taking water around the Delta/maintain common pool.
- Follow solution principal of no significant redirected impacts.

# Other Perspectives

- Peripheral Canal OK, but need assurance Los Angeles won't suck us dry.
- Program should consider North Bay Aqueduct as an export facility. The intake is near
  habitat proposed by CALFED for Delta smelt; concerned this will make it difficult to
  pump.
- Fix all San Joaquin River drainage problems.
- Build storage in Consumnes River watershed.
- Protect drinking water quality.
- Lack detail economics and financing analysis in EIS/R.
- Water use efficiency program needs to be expanded, consider a fourth alternative.
- Extend comment period.
- Beneficiaries need to pay.

#### Chico

150 attendees; 25 speakers Focus of Presentations

- Looking for specific storage plans.
- Maintain water rights and adherence to area of origin requirements must be part of assurances.
- Groundwater use and water transfers must adhere to local ordinances.

# Other Perspectives

- Use groundwater storage to help recharge basin.
- Opinion that conjunctive use really means that you sell surface water than pump groundwater.
- How do locals participate in adaptive management? Afraid changes will occur after agreements and locals left out and not know what is going on or be in agreement with changes.
- Remember how much the energy folks balked at conservation and look at their success; water conservation can be just as successful. No storage.
- Groundwater management and water transfers programs need more work. Water use efficiency program needs to be improved.
- Proposed meander belts could impact roads/bridges, agriculture and associated revenues, tax base, etc.
- Compliment CALFED for its outreach and particularly Terry Mills.
- Flood protection needed in North State.

### Week of May 11-15

#### Encinitas

80 attendees; 20 speakers

### Focus of Presentations

- Need for additional water supply reliability and improved water quality.
- Should focus efforts on improving water conservation program.

# Additional Perspectives

- Oppose to solution taking agriculture land from production; call for more specific social and economic analysis.
- Alternative 1 and the water use efficiency program may evolve into an acceptable alternative.
- Beneficiaries must pay.
- Call for additional hearings in southern California.
- Desalinization costs have dropped; it should be actively considered.
- Grey water use needs to be part of the water use efficiency program.
- Bulletin 160-98 not appropriate to use for water need assumptions.

### **Pittsburg**

100 attendees; 24 speakers

# Focus of Presentations

- Additional storage is needed; alternative selected should allow for all to get water from common pool.
- Water quality improvements not being adequately addressed.
- Program should focus on water use efficiency.
- Recreation needs are not being considered adequately.

### Additional Perspectives

- Concerned about taking agricultural land out of production and inadequate social and economic analysis.
- Increased efficiency will not meet all water needs.
- Consumers are the beneficiaries of agriculture and they should be paying for program; Consumers use the water not agriculture.
- Common programs should be the cornerstone of all alternatives. Start with those and see if any additional work is needed.
- All water users need to pay for the program.
- In future, we will all need to go to southern Cal to get our water.
- Need for storage based on unfounded assumptions re: population growth.
- Assembly member Torlakson push for Delta Science center as means of informing folks about CALFED's efforts; oppose peripheral canal if it impacts the district's water quality; need to deal with the drainage problem area on Westside of San Joaquin Valley; advocates a water market/transfer plan that works; favor storage.

### Week of May 18-22

#### San Jose

### Focus of Presentations

- Program should focus on a soft path solution which emphasizes Water Use Efficiency.
- Need reliable better quality water. Storage is fundamental for these improvements.
- High tech industries need high quality water.

# **Additional Perspectives**

- BDAC member
  - County's conservation efforts should serve as a model for other areas; need to improve source water quality; isolated facility needs to be kept in the mix to maintain flexibility.
- Consider adding home gray water systems to water use efficiency plans.
- Peripheral canal was voted down by the public. Voters should have say before peripheral canal built.
- Retire drainage problem farmlands.
- Need an integrated floodplain management alternative.
- Get well together is a lofty goal but, agriculture seems to suffer most.
- Why allocate water to agriculture and then let them transfer?
- Recreation users seem to be left out; Who is on BDAC for recreation?

### Vacaville

### Focus of Presentations

- Help Southern California become more water efficient so that they don't continue to rely
  on Northern California. Whole program is effort to get more water to Southern
  California.
- North Bay Aqueduct impacted by proposal. Improve Delta smelt habitat at intake impacts ability to pump.
- Agriculture land and water losses unacceptable.

### Additional Perspectives

Mosquito Abatement District would like to become involved in wetland development

efforts.

- Delta water quality will decrease with a peripheral canal.
- This is a private property rights grab.
- The EIS/R needs to display growth inducing impacts in Southern California. Similarly, the economic and social impacts on agricultural. Land need to be displayed.
- Propose that a research/interpretation center be developed at Rio Vista.

# Yuba City

# Focus of Presentation

- Flood protection in Northern California.
- Need additional storage.
- Need for assurances to protect ground water.

# Additional Perspectives

- Current reservoir storage is being manipulated for sale of water not flood protection.
- 7000 acres of agricultural land proposed for conversion by ERP worth \$11.9 million.
- Want site-specific information about storage sites.
- Population growth is the problem; Cities should share in agricultural losses by giving up land that would be used for agricultural. Agriculture shouldn't be taxed to mitigate for agricultural losses.
- Watershed Management Program needs some beef.
- Assure water, property and area of origin rights are preserved.
- Salt water intrusion is the problem, a dam at the Carquinez Strait is the answer.
- Agriculture has made good effort to conserve water; believe local voluntary approaches are best.